

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/685,601	10/11/2000	Franco Lo Giudice	198404US0	5262
22850	7590 12/08/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ZIMMER, MARC S	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examinar Initiated Intonvious Summans	09/685,601	GIUDICE ET AL.		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Marc S. Zimmer	1712		
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: <u>finally rejected</u>			
(1) Marc S. Zimmer.	(3)	•		
(2) Milton Sterman.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 30 November 2005	Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writtened examiner will be a writtened exa	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview		
	•			
7. 1		•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)		
//\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagger_\dagge	- Thursday of the proportion of	3a.a.o appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner had contacted Applicant to inform them that the reply had been deemed insufficient as a means of overcoming the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In particular, it is necessary for the Applicant to specify what type of molecular weight, weight-average or number-average, was being reported in the claims. Applicant had argued that, although a recitation of number-average molecular was not expressly supported by the original disclosure, the skilled artisan would appreciate that, where the type of molecular weight is not specified, it is understood to be an indication of the number-average molecular weight. The Examiner indicated that, while this seemed to be a reasonable assertion, Applicant would still be required to furnish for the record a prior art teaching to back their assertions.